13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ORMAT NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation

Defendant.

Case No: 3:18-CV-00354-HDM-VPC

ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT

(First Request)

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A), Local Rules IA 6-1 and 6-2, Plaintiff ETHOSENERGY TC, INC., a Delaware Corporation ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant ORMAT NEVADA, INC., a Nevada Corporation, ("Defendant") hereby stipulate and agree that the time for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) shall be extended three (3) weeks up and to and including **September 7, 2018**. Defendant's response is currently due August 17, 2018. Defendant requested this extension to which Plaintiff courteously agreed in light of the /// /// ///

1	complexity of the claims raised in Plaint	tiff's Complaint. This is the parties' first request for an
2	extension of Defendant's time to respond	d to the Complaint.
3	Dated: August 15, 2018.	Dated: August 15, 2018.
45	SIMONS LAW, PC	MCDONALD CARANO LLP
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	By: /s/ Mark G. Simons Mark G. Simons, Esq. 6490 S. McCarran Blvd., #20 Reno, NV 89509 MURRAY & DIBELLA, LLP By:/s/ Martin J. Murray Martin J. Murray 5 Penn Plaza, 15th Floor New York, NY 1001 (pro hac admission pending) Attorneys for Plaintiff EthosEnergy TC, Inc.	By:/s/ Jessica L. Woelfel Jessica L. Woelfel, Esq. Matthew C. Addison, Esq. 100 West Liberty Street, 10 th Floor Reno, NV 89501 Attorneys for Defendant Ormat Nevada, Inc.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 20, 2018.	ORDER Howard D. McKibben Senior U.S. District Judge